#### **REGULAR** MEETING OF THE TOWN BOARD TOWN OF GLENVILLE OCTOBER 5, 2011 AT THE GLENVILLE MUNICIPAL CENTER 18 GLENRIDGE ROAD, GLENVILLE, NEW YORK

Supervisor Koetzle called the meeting to order at 7:30 PM;

Supervisor Koetzle asked the Town Clerk, Linda C. Neals, to call the roll.

**Present:** Supervisor Christopher A. Koetzle, Councilmen Alan Boulant, John C. Pytlovany, Sid Ramotar and Councilwoman Gina M. Wierzbowski

Absent: None

Also present were Attorney Michael Cuevas, Deputy Highway Superintendent and Police Chief Michael Ranalli

The 2012 Tentative Budget was presented to the Board by Linda C. Neals, Town Clerk.

Town Council Reports:

Councilwoman Wierzbowski – "Our leaf pickup program is on schedule when the majority of them are on the ground the highway department will start picking them up. Repairs from flood damage are on going. It's been quite extensive from what I've been told. It's put us behind schedule from all of our normal work that we do this time of the year. The highway and water departments are working steadily to get things accomplished. The brush pick-up, from the storm, is about 95% completed."

Councilman Boulant – "This past Saturday, after a lot of effort and a lot of hard work with the highway department, town employees, the police department and a lot of volunteers and business owners, we held our 2<sup>nd</sup> Annual Oktoberfest at Richmor Aviation and we got one thing wrong and that was mother nature. It was very successful; unfortunately we didn't have the crowds there that we anticipated. The vendors didn't do as well as they wanted to but there was a tremendous amount of effort and support from the business community and the residents. I want to thank everybody that was involved and I can't wait for next year.

Target is moving forward. There is a trailer in the parking and they are doing some abatement inside. It will take about a month and hopefully the end of November, the beginning of December they'll start tearing it down and they are still on track for grand opening October of 2012."

Councilman Pytlovany, - "I would just like to echo Councilman Boulant's comments on the Oktoberfest. I was there a couple of hours. It is too bad that Mother Nature didn't cooperate because they had everything in place. Those who were there had a good time."

Supervisor Koetzle – "Item no. 5 on the agenda is a public hearing to consider the proposed local law to override the tax levy established in General Municipal Law §3-C.'

Supervisor Koetzle opened the public hearing at 7:41 pm.

Frank Quinn, 1886 Ridge Road – The tax cap is for controlling spending and taxing for the board and the tax payers. It helps to control dollars and cents in costs and it is a tool for limiting choices whenever you are negotiating or bargaining with contractors, unions, suppliers and all of those people because if you keep the tax cap and don't override it then you have got much more strength as a board as opposed to you overriding, with a local law, then everybody knows you can much more easily go over the 2% cap. It is also a tool for the taxpayers, because obviously they will have information they need for planning or managing their local taxes. If we don't override it than it stands as is and that gives the citizens, the taxpayers something.

It was created in response to statewide concerns. The exemptions as we know are in there and of course our tentative budget that is now out on the streets is a case study in how it works for anybody that is interested. If the tentative budget is passed as is the amount of the tax levy increases property tax by 3.2% if it goes as it is right now and that is legal because of the exemptions that are in there. So it is not 2% it is 3.2% which is 50% more then if it was the 2% cap.

So my points concerning it and arguing not to override the local law:

- Why pass a local law to override the cap.
- Why give away a tool that helps you control spending.
- Why give away a tool that helps you control taxing in the future.
- It's binding, it works, it works for this board and any future board.

So if we are really committed to controlling spending and taxes don't pass this exemption to this that allows future boards as well as you folks to say it's okay to exceed the tax cap even with these exemptions. Don't weaken your position as a board to control spending and taxing. For the taxpayers, as I see it, by not passing a local law to exceed gives the taxpayers some control also, they know what some limits are on spending and tax caps so they would like to keep that tool. It helps to minimize both current and future planning and administration of their tax burden. It gives the taxpayers real proof that the board is committed to not just promising and or hoping to control spending, obviously it clearly limits spending and with the exemptions.

I recommend, not only for the taxpayers, but also for the Town Board don't vote a local law to override the tax cap.

Supervisor Koetzle – "I want to clarify one thing; the local law is only good for one year."

Larry Schwartz, 15 Dawn Drive – It is totally unacceptable for you to exceed the tax cap. Why are you doing this? There really is no reason for it what so ever to exceed that tax cap. You shouldn't do it. You say it is only for one year, you shouldn't do it. It would be one year for you and the next board would say well if we need it we will do it again.

Most of the services that the town provides are necessary, police, snowplowing, engineering that kind of thing. This year like any other year, I am sure you are going to have your guys out there sucking up leaves and grass clippings left on all the roads in Glenville and you are going to do that again this year. That is a luxury. In hard economic times like this, I love that service too but you know that I am perfectly willing to take care of my own leaves and grass clippings. Everyone needs to take individual responsibility and take care of your own leaves and clippings and put them in bags, take them down to the recycling center. Cut that out of the budget. If you really care about the taxpayer, it not just a matter of providing services that everybody likes. There are plenty of people that will moan and groan and say, oh I really need that service, and no we don't need that service. There is a perfect example of a luxury service that this Town at this time cannot and should not be providing.

You can't pass a local law to exceed what the State Legislature meant to be a cap. They gave you an out but that out should only be used in an emergency.

Cut your salaries. You guys have got to look into that budget a lot more carefully. You should never pass this local law, don't let Glenville set precedent for breaking the cap."

Supervisor Koetzle – "I will address the questions being asked during the Supervisor's comments so I ask everyone to stay through that.

I do want to clarify one thing. This consideration of this local law is not to break the cap of 3.2%. The 3.2% is within the law, within the confines of the cap. We are there just like every other Town in this County, if you look at Niskayuna's budget they are at 31/2% but they are within the confines because there is something called "growth factor". When the State passed this it wasn't all that clear and they didn't make anything easy but there is a growth factor that goes into the budget. As the assessed values of your home increase you are given a growth factor that means our home values are increasing, that gives us the growth factor to go above the 2% but it's within the cap. We also had pension costs above the 2% increase from last year which are again taken as a credit. The State recognizes that those increases are so large they exempt them. Town's are doing this because that's within the confines of the law. This law that we are talking about tonight is not allowing us to break the cap to 3.2%, we are within the cap. This law that we are considering tonight only gives the Board the tool to break the cap if they feel they need to break it as they deliberate through this budget process from the tentative to the preliminary to the final. It does not mean it is going to get broken."

Scott Bryson, 346 Church Road – "I find it troubling that we are even considering passing a law that would break that cap, this is a clear vote whether this Board is really for the citizens of Glenville. Our State has finally done something right and we think we know better and we are going to try to undo it. The last thing that we need is to have our taxes go up; even a 3.2% is tough. I wished this Town Board would take steps to go 0% or below, lower our taxes. You may have to lay some folks off, well welcome to the rest of the world because they are doing it every day.

The State keeps cutting Medicare, Medicaid, we are happy to make those choices outside of here where it's not tax money we are laying people off so we can stay within our budget, so we can meet the demands and we ask that you do the same. Don't pass this law, this is a bad step for this Town Board and this clearly is a vote whether we are for the citizens or not."

Kara Panetta, 8 Rosemary Dr. – Talked about her limited income and giving up certain things that her family could not afford any more and asked the Board not to override the tax cap.

Peter Meenan, 11 Knollwood Drive – I am angry that you would pass a law to go above the 2% cap. Over 70% of the people in New York State wanted the 2% cap. I can't believe that you can not find somewhere in the budget to cut the 1.2% so you can stay under the cap.

Charles Thompson, 50 Hill Street – Informed the Board that according to the Gazette the Schenectady County tax levy increase will be 1.5%, the Malta tax levy, no tax increase, the Rotterdam tax levy will be 2.8%, Saratoga Springs tax levy increase will be less than 1%, the Montgomery County budget increase will be 1.1%. He was upset with the board increasing the tax levy by 3.2%. He expressed to the board that he was appalled that they would bypass the State mandate of 2%. I think you should have the courage and the responsibility to at least put it to all of the voters.

Gary McPherson, 7 Pembroke St. – Why are we even talking about this 2% tax cap, encroaching on to it, go back to the departments and find 10% savings. There are many older people that didn't come tonight that are on fixed incomes. The people can't do this anymore and that is why the 2% cap was enacted.

Richard Damagio, Berkley Road – The 2% needs to be upheld. You have to stick with the 2%. There is no reason in the world that we can't exist with 2% and in fact we should be going to minus 2%. We should be going into negative territory not over 3% not over 2%.

Ron Bortel, 17 Pinewood Dr - I don't think you guys are doing your job and doing it good. You could make more cuts, pick up the leaves one time don't keep coming back; be more efficient.

Supervisor Koetzle – "I have a few things that I feel compelled to respond to. Someone had come up and indicated that perhaps the Board had already made up its mind. I know this Board very well and I'll bet you this Board is not going to pass this law. We want to hear what is on everyone's mind. You can't make these prejudgments about what anyone is interested in doing. This is only a tool that the State Legislature gave local governments.

Back in August I don't think Schoharie County thought that they would need to break this tax cap but their going to need to break that tax cap because their infrastructure is destroyed. We don't know what is going to happen between now and November 16<sup>th</sup> when this budget is enacted. All we are doing is looking at the tools that if something happens and there needs to be an expenditure for an emergency, doesn't mean we are voting yes for it, doesn't mean it is going to happen but that's not the case. This Board is not a bunch of politicians; I can tell you there are business owners on this Board, emergency medical specialists... We want to hear your comments but we do want to keep it civil tonight."

Charles Boucher, 19 Ellen Lane – I have seen some 10% tax increases, some 8% tax increases and maybe you think we would think 2% or 5% would be wonderful compared to that. Last time we had a large tax increase the voters came out and voted those on the Board out. Use this as a tool when you are bargaining with the unions, tell them we have this 2% cap. Don't violate that 2% tax cap.

Shauna Thompson, 50 Hill St. – I am very much against the idea of passing a local law to override the cap.

Lance Jackson, 13 Evergreen Blvd. – I am concerned and I hope you will hold the line. I do vote.

Supervisor Koetzle closed the public hearing at 8:15 pm.

Mike Cuevas, Attorney for the Town – "I just want to clarify a few things about this particular local law. Local laws that are passed by Town Boards don't necessarily have expirations unless they are explicitly put into the legislation. In this case the State Legislature determined that if a municipality wanted to have the tool of overriding the tax levy limit that it must pass a local law but it must pass a local law every year that it wanted to have that option. That is what this local law would do for the Town of Glenville. I know people generally have a low opinion of lawyers and maybe after you hear what I have to say you'd have less of an opinion of lawyers because this legislation is on the agenda tonight largely at my urging because the legislation that was passed did not exempt the Town or any municipality from the time limits that they would have to pass a local law in order to have this option. It merely said that the local law must be passed before the Town adopts a budget and municipal lawyers across the State said what does the legislature really mean? Some people said well any local law has to be noticed, it has to be on the desk of the legislatures for a certain period of time, it has to be noticed in a public hearing, once there is a public hearing the Board can adopt it, after the adoption there is a process the local law has to be certified and sent to the Department of State and only then when it's accepted at the Department of State does it become enacted and official.

So if we were to wait, we could run out of time to enact a local law. My suggestion was and those who were conservative members of the legal community was, if you want to have this tool then you must get the ball rolling as far as putting this local law on the agenda. It doesn't mean that the Town Board needed to adopt or that they were going to break the cap but from a legal standpoint, as being counsel to the Board I had to advise them to say let's put this on the agenda and see whether your going to need it at the time. We had to make the decision whether to put it on the agenda some three weeks ago, the prospects for the budget were not very good, they were looking like there was no way that the Supervisor's budget could come within the cap so we could not and I could not from a legal position it would be malpractice for me not to say this is a legal option that you have and if you want to preserve that legal option that you have to get the process going. That's largely why we have this on here,

that is what the State legislation required us to do if we wanted to preserve this option for the Town."

The following people spoke during privilege of the floor.

Unidentified resident – Told the Board that by doing this they would be sending a totally wrong message to Glenville.

John Gaetani, Cedar Lane – He told the Board that he was opposed to this law last year for the reason that is going on right now. He knew it would never fly without mandate relief which they did not put in the law and that's driving the cost to go up with pension charges. He was concerned with the 3.2% increase.

Arlene Jackson, St Anthony Lane – She was not quite understanding the Attorney's explanation. After the budget got reduced, why did the Board move forward with this plan? Wasn't there a way to say we are not going to discuss this, it is no longer an issue for us and avoid all this raff tonight?

Supervisor Koetzle – "The budget that's been talked about before really wasn't a budget. The department heads hand in their budgets to me and the Board and when you take all of those budgets and put them together they would have affected a 12% tax increase if we had enacted that budget. Now to the department heads defense they were doing their own budget, they are not looking at the big picture, so they are just telling us what they need. Then the Supervisor in the tentative budget gets a chance to pare that back and we worked on that and pared it back to 3.2% and I will go over some of that later but not to atlas go through the process, as Mike laid out and the Board has an opportunity now for the next 30 days to take a look at this budget and do whatever they want with it. They can cut things, they can add things, so just to continue the process through and, I am not saying they are going to, I am not saying they want to do. This budget process is not done yet so there is still a chance it could go to 1.9%, 6.5%. We are continuing the process and letting the residents have a say on it."

Tom Coppola, Highway Superintendent – "I can hear the passion in everybody here tonight. I sit here meeting after meeting and we don't get any public input and I think this is wonderful that you people came out but I really encourage more people to come out and listen to the budget process and everything that happens in the Town so they understand exactly what's going on. You read an article in the newspaper; you get misperceptions of what really is happening. I am encouraging people to come to the meetings and listen to what goes on so we can have the public input and we don't have to face these kinds of situations with everybody. You really can't believe everything you read in the paper, I know that is hard to believe but just to educate yourselves, talk to your neighbors and have them come to the meetings. I've sat in these meetings and have been one of two people or one of three that have been here; talk to people have them show up, encourage them to come, have them understand what is going on, voice your opinions during privilege of the floor. It's very important that we get the information from the people. I just wish that you would keep showing up, keep coming to the meetings so we can listen to what you have to say"

Ross Streeter, Arapaho Path – Stated that she feels the same frustration that everyone else in the room feels. She claims that she has never gotten the service that she has asked for from the Town. Ms. Streeter votes every year and her taxes go up every year but she has to budget to pay her bills. She told the board to go back and cut some more if they think they may have to go over the cap.

Frank Quinn – Mr. Quinn reminded the Board that 70% of the budget is pay and benefits. He stated that the Board votes on pay and benefits and you voted to increase pay so the Board helped create the problem. Mr. Quinn said that the fix is in pay and benefits. Don't pass a local law to bail out a prior action.

Councilman Boulant – "I own a business on Freemans Bridge Road. I have been in business for 25 years. I live in Indian Hills; I have been there for 20 years.

I am going to give you guy's facts because that is how I live my life. I am a small business owner. I am frustrated; everybody who knows me knows that I live on my shirt sleeve. I just came off of ten days of nothing but Oktoberfest for the Town of Glenville, that's all I did, I didn't run by business, I didn't see my family. I come here to listen to this. I am stressed, I am aggravated and I am taking this very personally.

I am a resident at 1021 Tomahawk Trail, it's an 1800 sq. ft. ranch, assessed value is \$188,000 my total tax bill is \$5,495 of that \$3,240 is school tax we have no control over; 59% of my tax base, \$1,318 dollars is County and Federal mandates 24%, I have no control over; \$458 is Town tax. In that \$458 is town administration, police department, dispatch, economic development, tax collection, town clerk, assessor, building department etc., \$272 is fire protection 5%, \$140 is the highway department; snow removal, black topping, leaf pick up, bulk, highway maintenance 2.5%, \$67 is water 1%. 88% of my tax bill I have no control over, nor do you; 12% or 12 cents of every dollar we have control over. That is town administration, police protection, dispatch, snow plowing, leaf, bulk, black top, road maintenance, water mains, pension costs and more. I am frustrated folks.

What you guys are upset about is us raising the taxes let's say 3% it's based on \$458 for me which amounts \$9 or \$10. I understand your frustration, I am a very large tax payer in this Town, I own a house and I own a building on Freemans Bridge Road that I pay \$80,000 a year in taxes. There is no body any more upset about this than me.

Let's go to NYS Officials, we have 150 NYS Assemblymen with a base salary of \$80,000 benefits, whatever that equals \$17,610,000. We have 62 State Senators, the same salary - \$7,285,000; we have 29 US Representatives in NYS at \$175,000 a year plus for a total of \$6,155,000 this totals \$31,000,000. Add pension costs -10 - 15m a year you are at \$40,000,000 to \$45,000,000 a year in NYS just for representation, no substance. Ours at \$458 is all substance.

We haven't even talked about all of the staff of all of the elected officials in the State that's probable 2 to 3 times the \$40,000,000.

What is crazy, that I can't understand, is that we can't give our police force pre-fifty-five health insurance when they put their lives on the line day in and day out because we can't afford it as a Town but you can be an elected congressman at \$175,000 a year, work 5 years and you got benefits for the rest of your life, okay, does any of this make sense to you folks.

We give people representation that created all of these problems and none of you guys are talking about them. We are coming here and busting our chops and my tax bill for the Town is \$458. It's time to fix the real problem, it's not us; it's the big boys."

#### Supervisor's Comments:

A lot was said here tonight and if some of you had come out to the budget sessions that we had where we try to educate people on what we are doing and what we are facing, some of this could have been avoided tonight.

There are a couple of things that people mentioned that I want to address. The leave program, we cut the \$10,000 out because our highway superintendent got a DEC permit to drop leafs in our parks so we can compost them. We saved that money, it's not there anymore, and we cut that. If anyone read my budget memo I went through all of the cuts. We cut \$150,000 by placing a hiring freeze on our personnel line. We cut non-town run programs by \$12,000. We cut the \$10,000 leaf program but we are still delivering the service. We cut \$76,000 out of the highway budget to try and get under

the cap. We cut \$6,000 in energy by putting solar panels on the roof. We cut \$70,000 in electricity costs by negotiating lower rates with our energy supplier. No other town has done that, we are the only town that has cut almost \$80,000 in electricity costs out of our budget. I don't know if anyone has read this or not. We cut \$150,000 in health insurance costs, unheard of in this area because our unions gave us concessions in what Mr. Quinn points out is the negotiation we did with our highway, CSEA and PBA from the bargaining units. They gave us \$150,000 in savings, unheard of, never happened in previous administrations. I do want to point out that we negotiated salary increases that were half of what the previous administration had negotiated with CSEA and highway in his tenure, so we did our job. We reduced positions by 3 fulltime, 2 part-time and we reduced overtime expenses by \$10,000.

Now those are all cuts, there is a lot of pain in this budget.

Our revenue is flat. Mortgage tax – we lost because of the economy half a million dollars in revenue. We can't do anything about it, it is the economy. Fees and fines – down \$64,000 this year, that equates to 1% tax increase. That leaves the dreaded property tax. Pensions are going up \$207,000 this year. That's a bill that the State of NY hands the Town. I can't do anything about that, they can't do anything about it, it just comes to us. Health, up 10% - 12% every year, that's about a \$200,000 spike every year. Negotiated wage increases, staff went without raises for years; unsettled contracts, we had to go back and take care of prior years. One of the things I've talked to our State Legislatures about something called the "tri-borough" amendment, which means when the union comes to the table to talk they come and they are kept whole no matter what; they don't have to cut a deal and they will stay exactly where they are. That puts the town in a straight bargaining position. Then we have something called "arbitration" which is if the town doesn't come to some agreement some judge is going to make us come to an agreement.

We are down in spending over the previous years by quite a lot. After you actually absorb all of these increases the State hands us we are down over \$200,000 in spending over last year and we were down the previous year from that as well.

Now the Town of Glenville has used over 1 million dollars of its savings account to off set tax increases in the past. This is a good tactic when times are good because what you do is you raise taxes up to your expenditures and you have a slush fund and the problem with that is when the economy goes bad and your revenues are going down and your expenses are going up you are going to get into deficit situation. What this board has done has decided to manage this problem; this is a problem coming not just in the Town of Glenville but a problem with everybody. Other towns are doing this because they are doing something called fees. We are not charging \$300,000 to pick up anything or to do anything.

What this Board has decided to do, no new fees, no major layoffs to protect services and we did true cuts to operational expenses. Last year we engineered a tax increase of 4% and in 2009 they used 1.3 million to keep your taxes low, we reduced that by \$200,000 and we went to 1.1 million in fund balance. We need to back off this because it's not going to be there some day and it is going to hurt. We could have had a 0% last year and said, don't worry about the future, what do we care; we just want the headline to read 0%. This year we backed it off by over \$350,000. We are managing the future, we are not managing today's budget we're managing the future. If this board were a bunch of politicians that said, "Chris let's just do the zero", we could have a negative 4%, today, easy. We could all go home and be happy but if the State doesn't stop handing down these bills that we can't afford and our revenues don't turn around and if the County doesn't help us out in sharing some of their sales tax, that's where we are heading. I asked our Comptroller to give me a five-year projection; our budget will go from 11.7 million to 14 million dollars.

We are not managing for today, we are managing our future. We can manage this, but we need the State to help us. We need the County to help us; we need the County to stop giving our money away when they do a mortgage tax exemption. That's money that comes out of all of us; we have to make that up. They give PILOTS, Target is going to have a PILOT, and Target is going to have a mortgage exemption. Those things are going to make it even more difficult for us.

I understand your frustration, I have three kids in this Town, it's very important to me. The whole reason I got involved is to make sure that we had a sustainable long-term fiscally responsible budget in the long term.

Supervisor Koetzle moved ahead with the agenda items.

### RESOLUTION NO. 142-2011

Moved by:Councilman BoulantSeconded by:Councilman Pytlovany

A RESOLUTION providing a hearing to consider any objections which may be made to the assessment roll for <u>Water District No. 11</u>, Town of Glenville, Schenectady County, New York and to <u>Extensions No. 1 thru 37</u> of said Water District.

# BE IT RESOLVED AS FOLLOWS BY THE TOWN BOARD OF THE TOWN OF GLENVILLE, NEW YORK:

SECTION 1. A hearing shall be held to hear and consider any objections which may be made to the assessment roll for Water District No. 11, Town of Glenville, Schenectady County, New York and to Extensions No. 1 thru 37 of said Water District, which was filed with the Town Clerk on June 01, 2011. Such hearing shall be held on the 19<sup>th</sup> day of October 2011 at 7:30 o'clock in the evening or as soon thereafter as they may be reached at the Glenville Municipal Center, 18 Glenridge Road, Glenville, NY.

SECTION 2. Notice of such hearing shall be published once in the official Town newspaper at least ten (10) days prior to the date of said hearing.

SECTION 3. This resolution takes effect immediately.

 Ayes:
 Councilmen Boulant, Pytlovany, Ramotar, Councilwoman Wierzbowski and Supervisor Koetzle

 Noes:
 None

 Absent:
 None

Abstentions: None

#### **Motion Carried**

## RESOLUTION NO. 143-2011

Moved by:Councilman BoulantSeconded by:Councilman Pytlovany

A RESOLUTION providing a hearing to consider any objections which may be made to the assessment roll for <u>Sewer District No. 9</u> and Woodcrest Sewer Extension, Town of Glenville, Schenectady County, New York.

BE IT RESOLVED AS FOLLOWS BY THE TOWN BOARD OF THE TOWN OF GLENVILLE, NEW YORK:

SECTION 1. A hearing shall be held to hear and consider any objections, which may be made to the assessment roll for Sewer District No. 9 and Woodcrest Sewer Extension, of the Town of Glenville, Schenectady County, New York, which was filed with

the Town Clerk on June 1, 2011. Such hearing shall be held on the 19<sup>th</sup> of October 2011 at 7:30 o'clock in the evening or as soon thereafter as they may be reached at the Glenville Municipal Center, 18 Glenridge Road, Glenville, NY.

SECTION 2. Notice of such hearing shall be published once in the official Town newspaper at least ten (10) days prior to the date of said hearing.

SECTION 3. This resolution takes effect immediately.

Ayes:Councilmen Boulant, Pytlovany, Ramotar, Councilwoman Wierzbowski and<br/>Supervisor KoetzleNoes:None

Absent: None

Abstentions: None

#### **Motion Carried**

#### RESOLUTION NO. 144-2011

Moved by:Councilman BoulantSeconded by:Councilman Pytlovany

A RESOLUTION providing a hearing to consider any objections which may be made to the assessment roll for the joint <u>Acorn Drive Sewer</u> <u>District and Woodhaven Sewer District</u> <u>Waste Treatment Plant</u>, Town of Glenville, Schenectady County, New York.

# BE IT RESOLVED AS FOLLOWS BY THE TOWN BOARD OF THE TOWN OF GLENVILLE, NEW YORK:

SECTION 1. A hearing shall be held to hear and consider any objections, which may be made to the assessment roll for the joint Acorn Drive Sewer District, and Woodhaven Sewer District Waste Treatment Plant, Town of Glenville, Schenectady County, New York, which was filed with the Town Clerk on June 1, 2011. Such hearing shall be held on the 19<sup>th</sup> of October 2011 at 7:30 o'clock in the evening or as soon thereafter as they may be reached at the Glenville Municipal Center, 18 Glenridge Road, Glenville, NY.

SECTION 2. Notice of such hearing shall be published once in the official Town newspaper at least ten (10) days prior to the date of said hearing.

SECTION 3. This resolution takes effect immediately.

 Ayes:
 Councilmen Boulant, Pytlovany, Ramotar, Councilwoman Wierzbowski and Supervisor Koetzle

 Noes:
 None

 Absent:
 None

 Abstentions:
 None

#### **Motion Carried**

#### **RESOLUTION NO. 145-2011**

Moved by:Councilman BoulantSeconded by:Councilman Pytlovany

A RESOLUTION providing a hearing to consider any objections which may be made to the assessment roll for <u>Alplaus Sewer District No.</u> <u>1 and Extension No. 1</u> of the Town of Glenville,

#### Schenectady County, New York.

BE IT RESOLVED AS FOLLOWS BY THE TOWN BOARD OF THE TOWN OF GLENVILLE, NEW YORK:

SECTION 1. A hearing shall be held to hear and consider any objections, which may be made to the assessment roll for Alplaus Sewer District No. 1 and Extension No. 1, Town of Glenville, Schenectady County, New York, which was filed with the Town Clerk on June 1, 2011. Such hearing shall be held on the 19<sup>th</sup> of October 2011 at 7:30 o'clock in the evening or as soon thereafter as they may be reached at the Glenville Municipal Center, 18 Glenridge Road, Glenville, NY.

SECTION 2. Notice of such hearing shall be published once in the official Town newspaper at least ten (10) days prior to the date of said hearing.

SECTION 3. This resolution takes effect immediately.

Ayes:Councilmen Boulant, Pytlovany, Ramotar, Councilwoman Wierzbowski and<br/>Supervisor KoetzleNoes:NoneAbsent:NoneAbstentions:None

#### **Motion Carried**

## RESOLUTION NO. 146-2011

**Moved by:** Councilman Ramotar **Seconded by:** Councilwoman Wierzbowski

**WHEREAS,** a vacancy exists for the position of member of the Town of Glenville Board of Assessment Review, and

**WHEREAS,** the vacancy was posted the town's website resulting in three applicants for this position, and

**WHEREAS,** interviews were conducted with the three applicants, as well as a review of qualifications and experience, which resulted in a unanimous recommendation of the interview committee that Francis Murphy be appointed to a new term on the Town of Glenville Board of Assessment Review,

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED,** that Francis Murphy, 1734 North Road, Glenville, NY, is hereby appointed to the vacant position of member of the Board of Assessment Review for a term from October 5, 2011 through September 30, 2016.

Ayes:Councilmen Boulant, Pytlovany, Ramotar, Councilwoman Wierzbowski<br/>and Supervisor KoetzleNoes:NoneAbsent:NoneAbstention:None

#### Motion Carried

#### **RESOLUTION NO. 147-2011**

Moved by:Councilman PytlovanySeconded by:Councilman Pytlovany

**WHEREAS**, it is the intent of this resolution to keep Halloween a safe day for the children trick or treating in Glenville,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the time Halloween is to be

celebrated within the Town of Glenville is from 4:00 PM to 8:00 PM on Monday, October 31, 2011; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that the Chief of Police be and he hereby is authorized to print notices of "**Safe Monday Halloween**" and have them distributed to the Neighborhood Watch Groups, schools, churches, newspapers and at any other locations deemed appropriate.

 Ayes:
 Councilmen Boulant, Pytlovany, Ramotar, Councilwoman Wierzbowski and Supervisor Koetzle

 Noes:
 None

 Absent:
 None

 Abstentions:
 None

#### **Motion Carried**

#### **RESOLUTION NO. 148-2011**

Moved by:Councilman RamotarSeconded by:Councilwoman Wierzbowski

**BE IT RESOLVED,** that the minutes of the regular meeting held on September 21, 2011 are hereby approved and accepted as entered.

Ayes:Councilmen Boulant, Pytlovany, Ramotar, Councilwoman Wierzbowski and<br/>Supervisor KoetzleNoes:None

Absent: None

Abstentions: None

#### Motion Carried

#### RESOLUTION NO. 149-2011

Moved by: Councilman Ramotar Seconded by: Councilwoman Wierzbowski

**BE IT RESOLVED,** that the Town Board of the Town of Glenville hereby adjourns into Executive Session to discuss personnel items.

 Ayes:
 Councilmen Boulant, Pytlovany, Ramotar, Councilwoman Wierzbowski and Supervisor Koetzle

 Noes:
 None

 Absent:
 None

Abstention: None

#### **Motion Carried**

Supervisor Koetzle adjourned this portion of the meeting at 9:30 p.m. and entered into Executive Session.

Time being 10:15 p.m.; Supervisor Koetzle reconvened the meeting and announced that no action was taken during the Executive Session.

Supervisor Koetzle asked for a motion to adjourn; motion to adjourn was Moved by Councilman Ramotar; Seconded by Councilwoman Wierzbowski, everyone being in favor the meeting was adjourned at 10:16 PM.

ATTEST:

Linda C. Neals Town Clerk